

1. Which community do you live in?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sunalta 4 • Scarboro 2 • Upper Scarboro 1
2. Do you own a business in Scarboro?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No 5 • Yes 1
3. How long have you lived or owned a business in Sunalta?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23 years • 30 years • 4 years • 12 years • 12 years • 13 years
4. Why did you choose to live in this community?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location to downtown, quick access to major routes, good schools (Western), small community • Can't remember. I continue to live here because it is a "old fashioned" neighborhood. Most things are within walking distance. • Close access to major trails, historic area, family-centric areas within community • My partner and I moved into my mom's house when she moved to a lodge so I have returned to the house I grew up in! • Because of the old character homes and location close to downtown • Proximity to downtown, beauty of community, low scale of development
5. Now that you live or work here, what is the best thing about Sunalta?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestrian scale streets that are well treed • The dedicated and devoted community members that love this community and want to see it grow into something great. • Trees, pleasant streets, nearness to transit, range of restaurants and services, close to downtown and bike path • The family aspect and historic significance of this community • Close to downtown, well treed, access to bike paths, fairly safe, but have some recent concerns. • Location
6. Do you have any concerns that should be address during the Sunalta ARP amendment process?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drug issues—police appear to be too tolerant • Traffic—10 Ave route excellent idea • Division of community • Phase 2 development of 13 Ave SW between 14 and 16 Street traffic needs to be slowed down • Intersection at 13 Ave and 14 Street is extremely hazardous and doesn't meet "greenway" criteria, but no easy solution • Heights of proposed buildings on 10 Avenue

- The redevelopment of areas north of 11 Avenue
- Traffic increases due to the reopening of 10 Avenue
- I live near 14 Street (and 15 Avenue) and feel that street is in need of upgrading/beautifying.
- We don't need anymore commuter traffic through Sunalta. We need to encourage local business and residential development. We need to preserve all our heritage buildings worth keeping.
- Area north of 13 Avenue must have better connection to the rest of the community. It is now divided by 11 and 12 couplet.
- Poorer development and lack of good pedestrian environment.

7. What elements of the proposed land uses, densities and building heights do you support or not support?

- Land uses should be such that not large parcels are needed for development. Smaller development should be encouraged for scale and diversity and to be more reflective of the area south of 12 Ave.
- I support the increased density near the LRT stops and in the city core in general.
- Buildings in excess of 20 storeys on 10 Ave creating a "wall". Higher density transition too abrupt. However, higher density from Bow Trail North supported.
- TOD principles, more walkable sustainable community, wide range of housing choices, complete community
- On street parking rather than parkades, emphasis should be on walking.
- Keeping height restrictions—good
- 10 Avenue traffic and lights—good

8. How would you like to see the open space in the area improved?

- Open spaces must have a focus. If they are generic—they attract people who bother others with requests for money etc. Focus on gardens—attractive and ongoing, fun obstacle course for kids and adults. Focus on history—interactive materials
- Cleaner—more garbage receptacles, No off leash areas for dogs, stricter enforcement for "poop and scoop"
- Return to community initiated proposal as per Sunalta Community Association and Alderman Mar.
- Proper tie-in from the community hall, 16th Street to the LRT station. Open area in front of the station is still very sterile.
- Can't think of anything—maybe better safety and security on walking and bike paths?
- All park spaces should be reassessed and design/planting done to address greater densities and new development
- Sunalta community hall needs to be redesigned and rebuilt
- Improve access over tracks to Pump House Theatre and river bank.
- We need a new community centre that can be better used by our expected growing population.
- Some land north of CPR should include park land along the river.
- Better playground needed at Royal Sunalta Park.

9. How do you feel about the heritage initiatives along 13 Avenue SW?

- I support heritage initiatives along 13 Avenue but need to incorporate greenway into plan. Should also include portions of 14 Ave and 15 Ave. Should not designate heritage but encourage it in some way.
- Absolutely great
- A great idea! I am in favour of keeping the “feel of history” while allowing for progress and increase in “people-friendly” areas.
- It’s a start. Buildings of a heritage nature throughout the community also need designation. A 100 year old community needs proper recognition.
- Excellent initiative. I am very supportive.
- Great—we need more.

10. What do you think about the proposed transportation improvements in the Sunalta area?

- Dislike the elevated station and bridge to Greyhound. MUST be policed at all times. This is already a high drug use area
- In general, things seem to be well thought out. Not sure about the impact of the LRT station.
- 300% above design capacity on 11th and 12th Avenues. Needs reduction or at the very least strict speed reduction through the community.
- I have looked on the web and been to a couple of meetings—it looks good. The area right around the LRT stop (the plaza) seems a bit bare—more trees! Cafes!
- 11th and 12th are to be reduced in traffic flow and made more pedestrian friendly. 10 Ave to become a high street and accommodate the E/W at a slower speed.
- Traffic needs to be reduced on 11 and 12 Ave if 10 Ave is to be opened. Beltline is planning on expansion of 11 and 12 Ave and that does not align with Sunalta plans.

Other comments:

- Keep up the good communication.
- As the redevelopment of Victoria Park continues, Sunalta community has become a natural containment area with homelessness becoming an increasing issue. With further development west of the community, the area has been forgotten or ignored for far too long. Too much of historical Calgary has been wiped out.
- From what I know of the ARP and Plan-It Calgary, I fully support the proposed shift in thinking. As a professional artists working in our home studio, I would like to see the ARP policy play a major role in every community—from amateur activities to professional venues.
- Timing is no good—for phase 2 of ARP. July 23 to end of August—everyone is away and there are no community association meetings. September is busy with kids getting back to school etc. We need sufficient time to review the draft, get feedback from the community and respond to the City so our concerns can be incorporated into the ARP Phase 2. We want and like deadlines and time frames that is efficient with reasonable deadlines.
- City needs to work with community association otherwise plans will not be aligned with community expectations. We can work with timelines proposed but don’t wait until the end to involve the community association.